



Client/server messaging protocols in serverless environments

Justin Dean^b, Andrew Harrison^c, Robert N. Lass^a, Joe Macker^b, David Millar^a, Ian Taylor^{c,*}

^a Department of Computer Science, Drexel University, USA

^b Naval Research Lab, Washington DC, USA

^c School of Computer Science, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 October 2010

Received in revised form

21 January 2011

Accepted 10 March 2011

Available online 17 March 2011

Keywords:

XMPP

Serverless chat

WS-Notification

SLP

JmDNS

Peer-to-Peer

Multicast

NORM

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss the adaptation of TCP transport-oriented client–server messaging protocols to many-to-many peer-to-peer networking environments more suitable for deployment in dynamic wireless networks capable of multicast forwarding. We describe four main issues in adapting such protocols: exposing a network server for receiving TCP session data; the creation of server-side semantic proxies to process the messages and adapt to a serverless environment; service discovery to enable the discovery of necessary services on the network and to maintain the network state; and finally support for multicast interfaces for the transportation of messages amongst peers. We show that our system, called GUMP, can be used to support such protocol adaptations and to illustrate we use GUMP to implement an XMPP proxy allowing existing off-the-shelf XMPP client software to dynamically create and operate multi-user chat sessions in a serverless network environment. We then present two sets of results that show how appropriate discovery systems and transport protocols can dramatically increase the success of protocols, such as XMPP, within a mobile wireless networked environment. Specifically, we first demonstrate that a GUMP supported discovery system, INDI, can significantly increase the success rates and decrease latency of discovering services through profiles, caching and retrying schemes. Second, we show that success rates for XMPP transmission of messages can be vastly improved through the use of multicast as apposed to TCP within the mobile environment. These two factors provide strong empirical support for the justification of GUMP in its ability to adapt between a client–server and serverless world.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

XML-based standardized messaging protocols (e.g., XMPP, Saint-Andre, 2004a,b, WS-Notification, Graham et al., 2006 and WS-Eventing, Box et al., 2004) typically assume dependence on an underlying TCP transport stack for achieving a reasonable level of reliability across wide area networks. It follows therefore that application clients (e.g., for XMPP, Pidgin¹ and Spark²) that use these protocols are also tied into TCP, often needing to make a client–server connection to a gateway or management server in order to join and use the network. This dependency does not work well when the group application moves into a more dynamic environment, such as a wireless mobile ad-hoc network (MANET, Corson and Macker, 1999), where server location and availability is in flux and where TCP transport effectiveness may be reduced and even render the application unusable. One issue is that TCP congestion control can often overreact to temporal disruptions

(e.g., wireless link errors, collisions, routing dynamics) not indicative of queue congestion and reduce the rate or stall transport connections.

UDP and transport enhancements (e.g., reliability) built above UDP, on the other hand, have historically had a more restricted portfolio of conventional Internet usages, such as real-time applications requiring low latency, real-time delivery, e.g., video or audio streaming. However, given that design and performance issues can be quite different in highly dynamic and disruptive network environments, such as MANET, UDP-based algorithms can often provide more effective results. Dynamic, wireless networking environments are also often less suitable for centralized server deployment due to potential server disruptions and mobility. Another point is that multicast or group-oriented network transport and delivery is often more suitable in wireless environments and therefore collaborative applications may often employ forms of UDP-based transport protocols or transport Performance enhancing proxies (PEPs), rather than pure end-to-end TCP.

The Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP) is a set of open XML-based standardized messaging technologies for presence and real-time communication developed by the Jabber

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Ian.J.Taylor@cs.cardiff.ac.uk (I. Taylor).

¹ <http://www.pidgin.im/>

² <http://www.igniterealtime.org/projects/spark/index.jsp>