



Review

## Energy efficient wireless unicast routing alternatives for machine-to-machine networks

Neyre Tekbiyik \*, Elif Uysal-Biyikoglu

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06531 Turkey

---

### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 1 July 2010

Received in revised form

1 February 2011

Accepted 24 February 2011

Available online 11 March 2011

---

*Keywords:*

Energy-efficient routing

Shortest-path routing

Energy-efficient networks

M2M

M2M applications

M2M communication

---

---

### ABSTRACT

Machine-to-machine (M2M) communications is a new and rapidly developing technology for large-scale networking of devices without dependence on human interaction. Energy efficiency is one of the important design objectives for machine-to-machine network architectures that often contain multi-hop wireless subnetworks. Constructing energy-efficient routes for sending data through such networks is important not only for the longevity of the nodes which typically depend on battery energy, but also for achieving an environmentally friendly system design overall, which will be imperative as M2M networks scale in number of nodes as projected. The objective of this survey is to provide a comprehensive look into shortest-path based energy-efficient routing alternatives to provide a reference for system designers as well as researchers. We start by describing M2M and its application areas, as well as its challenges. Next, a detailed account of energy-efficient unicast routing alternatives, with a particular focus on those based on additive link cost is given. Following a novel comprehensive classification of shortest-path-based energy-efficient routing algorithms designed for wireless ad hoc and sensor networks, we end by comparisons and discussions of the use of different cost metrics.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

---

### Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	1588
2. M2M system architecture and design issues . . . . .	1590
2.1. M2M architecture . . . . .	1590
2.2. M2M design strategies. . . . .	1591
2.3. M2M design challenges . . . . .	1591
3. Energy-efficient routing . . . . .	1592
4. Link-cost based shortest-path routing . . . . .	1593
5. Shortest-path based energy-efficient routing algorithms. . . . .	1593
5.1. Energy aware routing algorithms . . . . .	1593
5.1.1. MMBCR and CMMBCR algorithms . . . . .	1594
5.1.2. Max-min zPmin algorithm . . . . .	1594
5.1.3. Zone based routing algorithm . . . . .	1594
5.1.4. Energy aware routing algorithm for low-energy networks . . . . .	1594
5.1.5. EAR and DEAR protocols . . . . .	1595
5.1.6. EERP protocol . . . . .	1595
5.1.7. Flow augmentation algorithm . . . . .	1596
5.1.8. CMAX algorithm. . . . .	1596
5.1.9. OML algorithm . . . . .	1597
5.1.10. E-WME algorithm. . . . .	1597
5.1.11. SWP algorithm . . . . .	1598
5.1.12. SWCRP and SFWP algorithms . . . . .	1598
5.1.13. OLSRE protocol . . . . .	1599

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 312 2104513.

E-mail addresses: [ntekbiyik@eee.metu.edu.tr](mailto:ntekbiyik@eee.metu.edu.tr) (N. Tekbiyik), [elif@eee.metu.edu.tr](mailto:elif@eee.metu.edu.tr) (E. Uysal-Biyikoglu).