A detailed loads comparison of three building energy modeling programs: EnergyPlus, DeST and DOE-2.1E

Dandan Zhu¹, Tianzhen Hong², Da Yan¹ (🖂), Chuang Wang¹

1. Department of Building Science, School of Architecture, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China 2. Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract

Building energy simulation is widely used to help design energy efficient building envelopes and HVAC systems, develop and demonstrate compliance of building energy codes, and implement building energy rating programs. However, large discrepancies exist between simulation results from different building energy modeling programs (BEMPs). This leads many users and stakeholders to lack confidence in the results from BEMPs and building simulation methods. This paper compared the building thermal load modeling capabilities and simulation results of three BEMPs: EnergyPl us, DeST and DOE-2.1E. Test cases, b ased upon the ASHRAE Standard 140 tests, were designed to isolate and evaluate the key influencing factors responsible for the discrepancies in results between EnergyPlus and DeST. This included the load algorithms and some of the default input parameters. It was concluded that there is little difference between the results from EnergyPlus and DeST if the input values are the same or equivalent despite there being many discrepancies between the heat balance algorithms. DOE-2.1E can produce large errors for cases when adjacent zones have very different conditions, or if a zone is conditioned part-time while adjacent zones are unconditioned. This was due to the lack of a strict zo nal heat balance routine in DOE-2.1E, and the steady state handling of heat flow through interior walls and partitions. This comparison study did not produce another test suit e, but rather a methodo logy to design tests that can be used to identify and isolate key influencing factors that drive the building thermal loads, and a process with which to carry them out.

1 Introduction

Computer simulation is an important and proven metho d to help understand and analyze the thermal performance of buildings, and predict their operational energy consumption. Since the 1960s, many building energy mod eling programs (BEMPs) have been developed to perform building energy simulation, for instance, th e widely-used DOE-2 (DOE-2 1980), EnergyPlus (Crawley et al. 2001), ESP (ESRU 1999), and DeST (Yan et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2008). DOE-2 was developed at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory with funding from the U.S. Department of Energy (USDOE) after the energy crisis in the late 19 70s and is st ill the most widely-used BEMP in the U.S. This includes its use as a stand-alone calculation engine and with graphical user

interfaces (GUI) such as VisualDOE (VisualDOE 2004), EnergyPro (EnergyPro 2011), eQuest (eQ uest 2009), an d EnergyGauge (EnergyGauge 2012). EnergyPlus is a next generation BEMP developed, supported and maintained by a team led and funded by USDOE s ince 1996. EnergyPlus is based on the load algorithms of BLAST and the system algorithms of DOE-2. New features and enhancements were added to support innovative, low-energy building designs and operational controls. Development of ESP-r started in 1974 at the University of Strathclyde and is primarily used in Europe. DeST (Designer's Simulation Toolkits) is a BEMP developed at Tsinghua University since the late 1980s with the aim of aiding teaching, research and the practical use of building energy analysis and simulation in China.

BEMPs play a significant role in the desig n of energy

Keywords

building energy modeling program, building thermal loads, comparison, DeST, DOE-2.1E, EnergyPlus

Article History

Received: 15 November 2012 Revised: 6 February 2013 Accepted: 18 February 2013

© Tsinghua University Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013 **Research** Article