

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Chemical Engineering Research and Design

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cherd

IChemE

Experimental study of a vane-type pipe separator for oil–water separation

Shi Shi-ying, Xu Jing-yu, Sun Huan-qiang, Zhang Jian, Li Dong-hui, Wu Ying-xiang*

Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

A B S T R A C T

An experimental study of a new vane-type pipe separator (VTPS) was conducted for the possible application in the well-bore for oil–water separation and reinjection. Results by using particle image velocimetry (PIV) reveal a better flow field distribution for oil–water separation, which is formed in VTPS than that in hydrocyclone. The effects of split ratio, the oil content, guide vanes' installation and number of guide vanes on oil–water separation performance have been investigated experimentally. Compared to a traditional single hydrocyclone, VTPS shows a good separation performance as the water content at the inlet of VTPS reaches 79.9%, the oil content at the water-rich outlet is about 400 ppm while the split is near 0.70. These results are helpful to provide a possibly new design for downhole oil–water separation.

Crown Copyright © 2012 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Institution of Chemical Engineers. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Vane-type pipe separator (VTPS); Oil–water separation; Well-bore

1. Introduction

When the produced water in mature oil fields continues to increase, it is of great significance to separate the ever-increasing volumes of water from oil downhole and inject it into a suitable formation. The adoption of these measures could not only extend the economic exploitation of oil fields, but also maintain the reservoir pressure (Chapuis *et al.*, 1999).

The mentioned process is attractive but needs further investigation about the structure optimization of separator for the limited space in the well-bore. Traditional downhole separator is hydrocyclone which is a kind of tangential inlet structure combining with a long small cone tail and an upflow tube (Ogunsina and Wiggins, 2005). According to the number of tangential inlets, traditional hydrocyclone could be classified into two types: “multi-inlet” (Jiang *et al.*, 2002) and “single-entry”. While due to the well-bore's small diameter, hydrocyclone with a single-entry is chosen in most cases (Bowers *et al.*, 1999). According to information on the downhole hydrocyclone installations in North America, it works in wells' diameter larger than 135 mm with water content of greater than 88.4% (Veil *et al.*, 1999). These downhole applications present the limitation of hydrocyclone and there is not much experience with hydrocyclone used on streams with

high oil content as well. These restrictions are mainly due to the shortcomings of hydrocyclone's entry. The “single-entry” caused by the limited space is always small. Small inlets are more likely to cause oil droplets break-up (Listewnik, 1984) and thus exacerbate the difficulties of oil–water separation process (Meyer and Bohnet, 2003). Besides, the “single-entry” makes the structure of hydrocyclone asymmetric and so does the flow field which would cause the oil core start to weave, oscillate (Schutz *et al.*, 2009) and re-mixing of oil droplets between the oil core and water to happen (Thew, 1986). If the oil phase is re-emulsified, it would be quite difficult to separate oil droplets from water and even lead to the presence of some oil droplets in water injected to a disposal zone. The potential problems of this lasting oily-water stream reinjection will add to the difficulties in subsurface injection of the produced liquid (van den Broek *et al.*, 2001) and reduce the field oil production. To solve the above problems, Sooran *et al.* tried to improve the separation efficiency through the redesign of inlet structures. Michdet and Sangesland (1996) studied hydrocyclones with a small tube inside the underflow tube so as to recollect the oil phase in the underflow. However the quantity of water-removal would be lowered considering the fact that the underflow tube of hydrocyclone is already very small. Klasson *et al.* (2005) and Zhao *et al.* (2010) presented a new method

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 8254 4172; fax: +86 10 6256 1284.
E-mail address: yxwu@imech.ac.cn (Y.-x. Wu).

Received 25 October 2011; Received in revised form 19 January 2012; Accepted 15 February 2012