

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Accident Analysis and Prevention



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aap

D. Davenne^{a,b,*}, R. Lericollais^{a,b}, P. Sagaspe^{c,d,e,f}, J. Taillard^{d,e,f}, A. Gauthier^{a,b}, S. Espié^c, P. Philip^{d,e,f}

^a INSERM, ER I27, F-14000 Caen, France

^b Université de Caen, EA3917, F-14000 Caen, France

^c Université Paris-Est, IFSTTAR, LEPSIS, F-75732 Paris, France

^d Université de Bordeaux, Sommeil, Attention et Neuropsychiatrie, USR 3413, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

e CNRS, SANPSY, USR 3413, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

f GENPPHASS, CHU Pellegrin, F-33076 Bordeaux, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 April 2011 Accepted 26 September 2011

Keywords: Driving simulator Real driving Inappropriate line crossings Sleepiness Fatigue

ABSTRACT

Study objective: To compare the impact of extended wakefulness (i.e., sleepiness) and prolonged driving (i.e., fatigue) at the wheel in simulated versus real-life driving conditions.

Design: Participants drove on an INRETS-MSIS SIM2 simulator in a research laboratory or an open French highway during 3 nocturnal driving sessions. A dose–response design of duration of nocturnal driving was used: a 2 h short driving session (3–5 AM), a 4 h intermediate driving session (1–5 AM) and an 8 h long driving session (9 PM–5 AM).

Participants: Two groups of healthy male drivers (20 for simulated driving and 14 drivers for real driving; mean age \pm SD = 22.3 \pm 1.6 years), free of sleep disorders.

Measurements: Number of inappropriate line crossings, self-rated fatigue and sleepiness were recorded in the last hour of driving sessions to control the effects of prior waking time and time of day.

Results: Compared to the daytime reference session, both simulated and real driving performance were affected by a short nocturnal driving session (P<.05 and P<.001, respectively). Extension of nocturnal driving duration affected simulated performance nonlinearly and more severely than that of real driving (P<.001).

Compared to the daytime reference session, short nocturnal simulated and real driving sessions increased self-perceived fatigue and sleepiness. Real and simulated driving conditions had an identical impact on fatigue and sleepiness during extended periods of nocturnal driving.

Conclusions: In healthy subjects, the INRETS-MSIS SIM2 simulator appropriately measures driving impairment in terms of inappropriate line crossings related to extended wakefulness but has limitations to measure the impact of extended driving on drivers' performance.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

doi:10.1016/j.aap.2011.09.046

Driving during the night for long periods is a significant cause of road accidents, particularly on highways (Horne and Reyner, 1995; Pack et al., 1995; Philip et al., 1996; Mitler et al., 1997; Sagberg, 1999). In addition to a disruption of circadian rhythms (Benoit and Foret, 1988; Mitler et al., 1997), two other symptoms combine to contribute to deteriorate driving performances. The first one is sleepiness. This symptom is mainly due to extension of wakefulness (homeostatic and/or chronobiological influences) (Borbely, 1982; Akerstedt and Folkard, 1995). Sleepiness at the wheel is a well-known risk factors for traffic accidents, particularly on highways (Horne and Reyner, 1995; Philip et al., 1996, 1999, 2001; Sagberg, 1999; Garbarino et al., 2001; Sagaspe et al., 2008). The second symptom is fatigue which is known to occur when driving requires sustained attention over long periods of time (Lal and Craig, 2001; Thiffault and Bergeron, 2003; Ting et al., 2008). Fatigue has been shown to significantly decrease real driving performance during prolonged and monotonous driving (Lal and Craig, 2001; Sagaspe et al., 2008).

These symptoms (i.e., fatigue, sleepiness) combined with time of day contribute to a deterioration of drivers' performance when they drive for hours at night, but even so many drivers combine

Financial disclosure: This research was supported by a grant from ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) – PREDIT (Programme de Recherche et d'Innovations dans les Transports Terrestres). We claim no financial conflict of interest.
* Corresponding author at: Université de Caen, UFR STAPS, 2 bd du Maréchal Juin,

F-14032 Caen Cedex, France. Tel.: +33 2 31 56 72 83; fax: +33 2 31 56 72 72. E-mail address: damien.davenne@unicaen.fr (D. Davenne).

^{0001-4575/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.