Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/enganabound

# Two variational formulations for elastic domain decomposition problems solved by SGBEM enforcing coupling conditions in a weak form

## R. Vodička<sup>a</sup>, V. Mantič<sup>b,\*</sup>, F. París<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Civil Engineering Technology, Economy and Management, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Technical University of Košice, Vysokoškolská 4, 042 00 Košice, Slovakia <sup>b</sup> Group of Elasticity and Strength of Materials, School of Engineering, University of Seville, Camino de los Descubrimientos s/n, 41012 Seville, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 August 2008 Accepted 5 May 2010 Available online 26 June 2010 Keywords:

Domain decomposition Variational principles Potential energy Saddle point Min-max principle Symmetric Galerkin boundary element method Boundary integral equations Non-matching meshes

### ABSTRACT

The solution of Boundary Value Problems of linear elasticity using a domain decomposition approach (DDBVPs) is considered. Some theoretical aspects of two new energy functionals, adequate for a formulation of symmetric Galerkin boundary element method (SGBEM) applied to DDBVPs with non-conforming meshes along interfaces, are studied. Considering two subdomains  $\Omega^A$  and  $\Omega^B$ , the first functional,  $E(u^A, u^B)$ , is expressed in terms of subdomain displacement fields, and the second one,  $\Pi(u^A, u^B, t^A, t^B)$ , in terms of unknown displacements and tractions defined on subdomain boundaries. These functionals generalize the energy functionals studied in the framework of the single domain SGBEM, respectively, by Bonnet [Eng Anal Boundary Elem 1995;15:93–102] and Polizzotto [Eng Anal Boundary Elem 1991;8:89–93]. First, it is shown that the solution of a DDBVP represents the saddle point of the functional  $\Pi$  is considered for the finite-dimensional spaces of discretized boundary displacements and tractions showing that the solution of the SGBEM linear system of equations represents the saddle point of  $\Pi$ , generalizing in this way the boundary min–max principle, introduced by Polizzotto, to SGBEM solutions of DDBVPs. Finally, a relation between both energy functionals is deduced.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The development of numerical techniques for solution of boundary value problems (BVP) *via* domain decomposition (DDBVPs) has substantially increased in the last decade. There exist several approaches to the mathematical formulation and solution of DDBVPs, see e.g. the work of Mathew [1], Quarteroni and Valli [2]. Different formulations of the boundary element method (BEM) [3] in its symmetric Galerkin form, known as SGBEM, see Bonnet et al. [4] and Sutradhar et al. [5], applied to DDBVPs with conforming interface meshes can be found in Ganguly et al. [6], Gray and Paulino [7], Hsiao et al. [8], Kallivokas et al. [9], Maier et al. [10], Mantič [11] and Panzeca et al. [12]. A variational principle with Lagrange multipliers for the coupling of FEM and collocational BEM when solving elasto-static and elasto-dynamic problems with non-conforming interface meshes was presented by Rüberg and Schanz [13].

A novel approach to an application of SGBEM for DDBVPs has recently been introduced in Vodička et al. [14], generalizing the single-domain SGBEM variational formulation by Bonnet [15]. This approach is based on an energy functional for DDBVPs proposed in [14], which results in a new variational formulation of the SGBEM for DDBVPs with a weak imposition of coupling conditions, thus allowing non-conforming meshes along interfaces between subdomains. It should be mentioned that another variational principle for solving thermal and acoustic DDBVPs by SGBEM was presented in Kallivokas et al. [9].

The SGBEM code developed in [14] has successfully been tested, evaluating accuracy and asymptotic convergence of the numerical results obtained for examples including non-matching meshes of linear continuous boundary elements [3] along straight and curved interfaces.

The theoretical base of this new variational formulation is, nevertheless, somewhat wider than was explained in the original work by Vodička et al. [14]. In view of this, a few new relevant theoretical results associated to the energy-based formulations for DDBVPs are introduced in the present paper.

First, after a concise formulation of an elastic DDBVP in Section 2, it is proved in Section 3 that the critical (stationary) point of the energy functional from [14] is indeed a saddle point.

Then, another variational principle, expressed only in terms of boundary and interface unknowns, is presented in Section 4. An analogous principle was introduced in Polizzotto [16] for

 <sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.
*E-mail addresses:* roman.vodicka@tuke.sk (R. Vodička), mantic@esi.us.es
(V. Mantič), paris@esi.us.es (F. París).

<sup>0955-7997/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\circledcirc$  2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.enganabound.2010.05.002