Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/na

A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations

Janusz Brzdęk^a, Jacek Chudziak^b, Zsolt Páles^{c,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Pedagogical University, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland

^b Department of Mathematics, University of Rzeszów, Rejtana 16 C, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland

^c Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen, Pf. 12, Hungary

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 December 2010 Accepted 30 June 2011 Communicated by Ravi Agarwal

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability Fixed point Functional equation in single variable Nonlinear operator

ABSTRACT

We prove a simple fixed point theorem for some (not necessarily linear) operators and derive from it several quite general results on the stability of a very wide class of functional equations in single variable.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nonlinear

Interest in the investigation of the problem of the stability of functional equations (now named the Hyers–Ulam stability) has been stimulated by a question of Ulam (cf. [1,2]) and several papers that appeared afterwards and had been motivated by it (see, e.g., [3,4,1,5]). However the first known result of this kind is due to Pólya and Szegö [6]. For more details and further references concerning that subject, we refer to [7-15].

A fixed point approach has already been applied in the investigation of Hyers–Ulam stability, e.g., in [16–20]. In this note we present a modification of it that seems to be more natural for the field of functional equations. Thus we obtain in particular several significant supplements and/or generalizations of already known results proved in [7,21-30] and concerning stability of functional equations in single variable. For more information on such functional equations we refer to [31,32].

Denote by \mathbb{N}_+ and \mathbb{R}_+ the sets of nonnegative integers and nonnegative real numbers, respectively. For our subsequent results, we take the following four hypotheses.

- (H1) X is a nonempty set and (Y, d) is a complete metric space.
- (H2) $f_1, \ldots, f_k : X \to X$ and $L_1, \ldots, L_k : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are given maps. (H3) $\mathscr{T} : Y^X \to Y^X$ is an operator satisfying the inequality

$$d\big((\mathscr{T}\xi)(x),(\mathscr{T}\mu)(x)\big) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i(x)d\big(\xi(f_i(x)),\mu(f_i(x))\big), \quad \xi,\ \mu \in Y^X,\ x \in X.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

(H4) Λ is a linear operator defined by

$$(\Lambda\delta)(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i(\mathbf{x})\delta(f_i(\mathbf{x}))$$
⁽²⁾

for $\delta: X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x \in X$. Obviously, Λ is monotone with respect to the pointwise ordering in \mathbb{R}^X_+ (provided that L_i is nonnegative).

Corresponding author.



E-mail addresses: jbrzdek@ap.krakow.pl (J. Brzdęk), chudziak@univ.rzeszow.pl (J. Chudziak), pales@math.klte.hu (Z. Páles).

⁰³⁶²⁻⁵⁴⁶X/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.na.2011.06.052