

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jnlabr/ymssp



Review

Comparative analysis of neural network and regression based condition monitoring approaches for wind turbine fault detection

Meik Schlechtingen, Ilmar Ferreira Santos*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Section of Solid Mechanics, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 March 2010 Received in revised form 2 December 2010 Accepted 11 December 2010 Available online 24 December 2010

Reywords:
Condition monitoring
Neural networks
SCADA data
Fault detection
Wind turbine
Normal behavior models

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the research results of a comparison of three different model based approaches for wind turbine fault detection in online SCADA data, by applying developed models to five real measured faults and anomalies. The regression based model as the simplest approach to build a normal behavior model is compared to two artificial neural network based approaches, which are a full signal reconstruction and an autoregressive normal behavior model. Based on a real time series containing two generator bearing damages the capabilities of identifying the incipient fault prior to the actual failure are investigated. The period after the first bearing damage is used to develop the three normal behavior models. The developed or trained models are used to investigate how the second damage manifests in the prediction error. Furthermore the full signal reconstruction and the autoregressive approach are applied to further real time series containing gearbox bearing damages and stator temperature anomalies.

The comparison revealed all three models being capable of detecting incipient faults. However, they differ in the effort required for model development and the remaining operational time after first indication of damage. The general nonlinear neural network approaches outperform the regression model. The remaining seasonality in the regression model prediction error makes it difficult to detect abnormality and leads to increased alarm levels and thus a shorter remaining operational period. For the bearing damages and the stator anomalies under investigation the full signal reconstruction neural network gave the best fault visibility and thus led to the highest confidence level.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

| 1 | Introd | uction | 1850 |
|----|---|---|------|
| | | | |
| 2. | Time series containing anomalies | | 1851 |
| | 2.1. | Generator bearing anomaly | 1851 |
| | 2.2. | Gearbox bearing temperature anomaly I | 1852 |
| | 2.3. | Gearbox bearing temperature anomaly II | 1854 |
| | | Generator stator temperature anomaly I | |
| | 2.5. | Generator stator temperature anomaly II | 1854 |
| 3. | Regres | Regression model development. | |
| 4. | Neural network model setup and training | | |
| | 4.1. | Input signals | 1858 |

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +45 45256269; fax: +45 45931475. E-mail address: ifs@mek.dtu.dk (I. Ferreira Santos).