Not in the first place

Hedde Zeijlstra

Received: 13 September 2010 / Accepted: 16 March 2013 / Published online: 5 July 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Abstract In this article, I provide a unified explanation for two puzzling phenomena concerning sentence-initial negation: the ban on True Negative Imperatives that is attested in many languages and the ban on sole negative markers in sentence-initial position in V-to-C languages. I argue that both phenomena can be explained once it is assumed after Han (2001) that operators encoding the illocutionary force of a speech act take scope from matrix C° and may not be outscoped by negation. Consequently, a morphosyntactically negative element can appear in a position in C° or SpecCP only if it is semantically non-negative or if it can reconstruct to a lower position.

Keywords Imperatives · Negation · Negative concord · Speech acts · Verb second

1 Introduction: two phenomena

As negation is an operator that applies to complete propositions, one might perhaps expect negative markers to appear in sentence-initial position. However, negative markers cross-linguistically rather tend to occur in the so-called middle field of the clause (cf. Payne 1985; Horn 1989). In fact, in several cases negation is even banned from sentence-initial position. In this article, I discuss two such cases: (i) the ban on True Negative Imperatives that is attested in many languages and (ii) the ban on single negative markers in sentence-initial position in V-to-C languages.

In this article, I argue that both phenomena can be explained in a unified way as a result of the interplay between the syntactic and semantic properties of negative markers and the fact that operators that encode the illocutionary force of a speech act take scope from C° .

H. Zeijlstra (⊠)

Georg-August-University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

e-mail: hzeijls@uni-goettingen.de

